

NIO No. 0648-76

Executive Registry

76-1997

22 April 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR: D/DCI/NIO
Assistant to the Director

FROM: [REDACTED]
National Intelligence Officer for China

SUBJECT: Discussion on China with Newsweek Editors

1. On 21 April I received a telephone call from Maynard Parker, national editor of Newsweek, who is an old friend. Maynard had learned that I was speaking on China at the [REDACTED] and asked me for lunch that same day at the Century Club in New York to discuss China with several senior editors of Newsweek. I accepted his invitation and will proceed to New York on the [REDACTED] for these two engagements unless informed otherwise.

2. I have previously reported the [REDACTED] invitation and received approval to address them. In my talks with Newsweek I will be known as the National Intelligence Officer for China who is on Mr. Bush's staff. I do not intend to use classified information which can be identified as such.

cc: DCI

Distribution:

- Orig. - Addressee
- 1 - A/DCI
- 1 - DCI
- 1 - D/Sec.
- 1 - C/CCS
- 2 - NIO/CH
- 1 - NIO/RI

Executive Registry
Room 7-E-12
Headquarters

25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/05/07 : CIA-RDP79M00467A002700060006-1

Approved For Release 2002/05/07 : CIA-RDP79M00467A002700060006-1

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	DCI		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN	
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE	
Remarks:			
<p>GB -</p> <p>FYI on</p> <p><u>U.S. news</u> lunch.</p>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
A(DC)			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	

FORM NO.
1-67

237

Use previous editions

(40)

REGISTRY FILE *Paul Offas*

Executive Registry

76-1256/2

GB-

STATINTL Joe Fromm of U.S. News and World Report, in course of inviting me to White House Correspondent's thing on May 1, mentioned your Apr. 21 lunch with U.S. News editors. Joe seems to be in charge of it. It will be, he reaffirmed, an off the record chat type of thing. He said he was expecting both of us. I said your S.O.P. was to have your [redacted] come along, and if three meant bumping one of their editors who should be seeing you, I'd pass on this one and see the U.S. News crowd at the dinner.

STATINT

STATINT

21 Apr

ER does not
have box
1/1 as of
19 Apr 76

Executive Registry

732/3

The Honorable Frank Church
U.S. Senate
Washington D. C.

April 19, 1976

Dear Senator Church:

Information Copy

You have started off on the right foot if you wish to continue to embarrass the United States and what it stands for.

Now the papers and the press blazon across the headline fronts, "President Weak, Sen. Church Says."

If this vindictiveness won't help you then you in all probability believe that it will help the Democratic Party. And you may be right.

Attacking the president, President Ford and his Administration, is one of the most vile types of character assassination... but then this is politics, isn't it. You are late, you must use a concentrated effort, and destroying the President's credibility is the gut fighting that you know so well.

You did extremely well when you attacked our intelligence offices and its staff. No holds were barred as you held up the black gun accusing the United States of engaging in assassinations. This irresponsibility should never permit you to be nominated to the position of president much less to be elected.



I believed and still do that investigations were necessary and that reports should have been made. But when a Senator becomes a public spectacle on TV as he unknowingly destroys the prestige and status his own country has, then I believe that such a man has little right to be foul the name of the United States President because of politics. However, if you must crawl around in the lower levels of politics then it of course shows the type of man you are.

Sincerely yours,

[Redacted Signature]


U.S. Army Retired

cc: The President
The Republican Party
The Democratic Party
Mr. Reagan
Mr. Henry Jackson, U.S. Senate
Governor of Idaho
The FBI, CIA, NSA
The American Legion.
Committee for Survival of a Free Congress



CIA

The Director
Washington D.C.



Approved For Release 2002/05/07 : CIA-RDP79M00467A002700060006-1

letter dated 11 Feb 76 was destroyed per ES's instructions

Feb 17th letter~~X~~ (see attached as ref) was forwarded
to Mr. Thuermer for info frmD/ES.

Both were PRO-CIA.

ER/Jim

Approved For Release 2002/05/07 : CIA-RDP79M00467A002700060006-1

6932/2

April, 19 1976

The Honorable Frank Church
U.S. Senate
Washington D. C. 20510

Copy

Dear Senator Church:

The papers and the press blazon across the headline fronts "President weak, Sen. Church says."

If the Honorable Senator Church's vindictiveness and smallness won't help him then it will in all probability help the Democratic party, he may believe.

President Ford was a most courageous, a man with good common sense when he pardoned President Nixon. Whether what he did was right will probably never be known. But the fact that he did pardon, knowing full well what it could do in its repercussions from the forces in the press and Congress, and the Churches.

By his action the President eliminated the possibility of further degradation of the United States. What a field days the Churches and the Pikes would have had had they chaired the committees. How these men and their peers would have gloated and strutted as they would have displayed dirty laundry at the expense of the United States, and in all probability Harringtonly leaked secrets to the world.

Attacking the President is a most vile character assassination... but then this is politics... isn't it? You are late, you must use a concentrated effort to destroy the President's credibility. Every Church innuendo, insinuation, question, will cause a lack of confidence, and fan a new flame. The press will carry your words... headline them, won't they?



Of course the Honorable Senator Church remembers well the histrionics as he attacked the United States Intelligence Agencies and their staff. No holds were barred as you held up the black gun, calling it a murder gun, accusing the United States of engaging in assassinations.

I believe that the investigations of the security agencies were necessary, and believe that reports should have been made. But when a United States Senator becomes a public spectacle on TV as he knowingly or unknowingly destroys the prestige and the respect of his country, and now befouls the name of the President of the United States because his consideration was first of the United States, then such a man must never be allowed to be nominated for President much less be elected as president.

Sincerely yours, /



cc: The President
Republican and Democratic Party
Mr. Reagan
Senator Henry Jackson
The Governor of Idaho
The FBI, The CIA, The NSA
The American Legion, The VFW
Committee for Survival of Free
Congress
American Security Council
Senator James A. McClure
Senator Robert F. Kennedy III
Congressman Steven D. Symms



① I A D C
Dereck
Washington DC

Routing Slip

Remarks:

Executive Secretary

2/23/76

Executive Registry

76-6932/1

February 17, 1976

INFORMATION COPY

The Milwaukee Journal
Milwaukee, WisconsinATTN: Mr. Irwin Maier
Mr. John N. ReddinMr. Richard H. Leonard
Mr. Joseph W. Schoquist

Gentlemen:

Never, but never, let the press know what is going on in the intelligence agencies, the FBI, the CIA, the NSA...

Last Sunday, again, the Milwaukee Journal printed an article in the editorial page about the CIA "Blow to Credibility." It was horrified that the missionaries, some correspondents had made loyal contributions toward maintaining a strong and healthy U.S. The press condemns the missionaries, the businessmen, and the correspondents for their work, their loyalty. But it prints classied materiel given to them by the leaker s in congress, the Elsbergs, the Andersons, the Pikes, and the Churches... what double standards.

Journal quotes: "The accusation of the CIA's bankrolling a few journalists has put other newspeople in the same boat." I ask: Of what are you ashamed? Why make an issue of it? Why publish it? For the Communists?

Journal quotes: "The worth of the individual in democratic states remains a tremendous magnet to those who are subjected to a state controlled regimented existence." Now everyone knows that this is a true statement, but what in the world has this to do with the CIA or intelligence gathering? I would rather explain this statement by saying that those who contribute to the CIA, realize what a democratic state is all about.

If the Journal and other news agencies are so naive as to take the stance that missionaries and writers, and businessmen should be above having the interest at heart, or consider its as less than first priority to assist, then they should be called fools. If anyone can divorce him or herself from loyalty to his country so that he refuses to support or hel it, I'd say that he is a sorry citizen. What is good citizenship all about? Has the media set a new standard for loyalty and patriatism, a new meaning? If the media had never made a big stink about it all, who in the hell would have known about it. The press would sell their peers, discredit the press, all for the sake of a headline. I question: Whose side are you on? or, On whose sise are you?

What upse\$s me, however, is the continuous discrediting of the CIA of the FBI and NSA, and of the police and the intelligence agencies, by the anti-press. Why?

I say, "By gosh if a reporter or a correspondent, a writer, or a business man or a religious figure hs the US interest or security at hear, then let that person report what he finds. If these people are so patriotic and loyal to the US then let the press/media back them. Why make an issue of it? The citizen is made a criminal by the press. Does it honestly think that it can change or control or influence our enemies by an example?

Sad, sad, sad.

cc: The CIA, the FBI, the NSA
The PresidentSenator Henry Jackson
Mr. Ronald Reagan
Senator Edward W. BrookCBS, NBC, ABC
National Review, the New York Times
Washington Star

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
TO: ER		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS:		
<p><i>Don't release article to</i></p> <p><i>Open without</i></p> <p><i>checking w/</i></p> <p><i>[Redacted]</i></p> <p><i>[Redacted]</i></p> <p><i>on 20 May 76</i></p>		
FROM:		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241
1 FEB 55

REPLACES FORM 36-8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

Executive Registry

96-1946/1

17 April 76

For: Mr. Blake

4-17

Jack:

Do you know I have heard good things about him. If you know him could you feel him out very very generally on possible interest.

If he shows any interest at all we should do some Pentagon checking--- maybe even before feeling him out you could ask to enquire around over there or If you would prefer not to get in this circuit let me know and I will get it checked some other way..... GB

Sensitive

FORM NO. 231
1-67

Pub. Affs

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Director of Central Intelligence		
2	Room 7D-5607		
3			
4			
5			
6			
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Remarks: <div style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">J.F.</div> <p style="font-size: 1.2em; margin: 10px 0;"><u>I told for a bit!!</u></p> <p style="font-size: 1.5em; margin: 10px 0;">Security has checked out -- both clean</p>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
DD/Administration 7D-24			4/13/76
	UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET

FORM NO. 1-67 237

Use previous editions

☆ GPO : 1974 O - 535-857

(40)

STATOTHR

Atts

Executive Registry
76-1946

DD/A 76-1874

14 April 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

FROM : John F. Blake
Deputy Director for Administration

George:

1. I mentioned that I would make a quiet solicitation amongst the Deputies as to whether they had any nominees to take over Angus Thuermer's duties.

2. The only one responding so far is Ed Proctor, who brings up two external names. I am submitting information to you in the form with which he gave it to me. I would only add that I understand that [redacted] is now with the [redacted].

3. While I feel sure you know, the [redacted] that Ed mentions [redacted] is now [redacted] Department of Defense.

4. I will make one more pass at Bill Nelson when he returns later this week from his TDY. I have given both names to the Office of Security and will let you know if anything of interest develops there.

John F. Blake
John F. Blake

Atts

Distribution:

- Original - DCI
- 1 - DD/A Subject
- 1 - DD/A Chrono (DO NOT CIRCULATE)
- 1 - D/OS
- 1 - JFB Chrono
- 1 - ER w/Atts

DD/A:JFBBlake:der (14 April 1976)

2 April 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Administration

Jack--

This is in response to your request for suggestions of people who might take on the job of dealing with newsmen and the media generally.

As I told you, [] impressed me as being first rate when he was [] for Public Affairs. I dealt with him several times during the [] bit. [] clearly knows his way around the media world as would be indicated by the following:



You should know that according to [], he and Jim Schlesinger had a falling out over the way Jim wanted to have the [] handled.

I have no idea whether [] would want to return to Government or work for the Agency.



Edward W. Proctor
Deputy Director for Intelligence

5 April 1976

NOTE FOR: Deputy Director for
Administration

Jack--

I talked to [redacted] on Friday
with respect to [redacted] was
quite complimentary.

[redacted] noted that a former reporter
on the STAR was now available. He is
[redacted] had worked
in the public affairs office of the [redacted]
but then shifted to work for [redacted] Jack
says that [redacted] is now looking for a
job; he is a GS-18 but might take less.

[redacted] described [redacted] as deliberate,
soft sell, low key, but very conscientious.
I believe that you can add [redacted] to your
list.

[redacted]
Ed Proctor

A
C
T
I
O
N

STATINTL
STATINTL

STATINTL
STATINTL
STATINTL
STATINTL

STATINTL
STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL
STATINTL
STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

So They Say

"Abuses of the past have been more than adequately described and I am concerned about them. But one thing is very, very certain: we cannot improve this agency by destroying it. Let me assure you all I have no intention of seeing the intelligence community dismantled, its operations paralyzed or its effectiveness undermined." — George Bush, at his swearing in as new director of the CIA.

"Intelligence has traditionally existed in a shadowy field outside the law. This year's excitement has made clear that the rule of law applies to all parts of the American government, including intelligence. In fact, this will strengthen intelligence. Its secrets will be understood as necessary for (our) protection not for covers for mistake or misdeed." — Former CIA head William Colby, on the new intelligence reform proposals.

PARADE Publications, Inc.

733 Third Avenue
New York, N. Y. 10017

Mr. George Bush
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
--------------	--------------	--------

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT
Routing Slip

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI				
2	DDCI				
3	S/MC				
4	DDS&T				
5	DDI				
6	DDA				
7	DDO				
8	D/DCI/IC				
9	D/DCI/NIO				
10	GC				
11	LC				
12	IG				
13	Compt				
14	D/Pers				
15	D/S				
16	DTR				
17	Asst/DCI	✓			
18	AO/DCI		✓		
19	EA/DCI				
20					
21					
22					

SUSPENSE

Date

STATINTL

Remarks:

*For review + recommendation
to DCI.*

Executive *2.1 Apr*
Date

KANSAS CITY STAR

Executive Registry
76-4763

The Kansas City Times
(THE Morning KANSAS CITY STAR)

1729 Grand Avenue • Kansas City, Missouri 64108 • (816) 421-1200

April 16, 1976

Mr. George Bush, Director
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Bush:

Seeking background for my work as editorial page editor of The Kansas City Star and Times, I will be in Washington for two weeks from April 26 to May 7. I have written for a dozen interviews at the Pentagon the first week and will be concentrating on the State Department the second week. If possible sometime in the second week, from May 3 to 7, I would like to talk to you at your office. My questions would deal mainly with what you see as new directions for the Central Intelligence Agency and the intelligence community in general. I would come with the understanding that anything you might wish to say on the record would be checked with you.

I am a retired Army Reserve colonel and have written virtually all of my newspaper's editorials on national security and intelligence for the past 30 years.

I will be calling your agency's information office the week of April 26 to see if an interview is possible. While in Washington I will be staying at the Sheraton-Carlton Hotel.

Sincerely yours,

Kenneth L. Fox

Kenneth L. Fox
Associate Editor

KLF:fmw

Executive Registry
Room 2000
Headquarters

THE KANSAS CITY TIMES

Paul Offner